Paper 83 Filed: 23 October 2008

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

DAVID **PRUTCHI** and PATRICK J. PAUL Junior Party (Patent 6,525,986)¹

V.

KARL M.J. LOFGREN, JEFFREY D. STAI, ANIL GUPTA, ROBERT D. NORMAN and SANJAY MEHROTRA Senior Party (Application 10/785,373)²

Patent Interference No. 105,581 (JL) (Technology Center 2800)

Before: JAMESON LEE, RICHARD TORCZON and SALLY C. MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judges.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

Based on Application 09/767,040, filed January 22, 2001. The real party in interest is Intermedics, Inc. Accorded the benefit of Patents 6,208,579, and 5,987,357. Patent 5,987,357 was filed July 30, 1997.

Filed February 23, 2004. The real party in interest is SanDisk Corporation and Western Digital Corporation. Accorded the benefit of Patents 6,715,044, 6,317,812, 6,148,363, 5,806,070, 5,430,859, and Application 08/396,488. Application 08/396,488 was filed March 2, 1995.

Interference 105,581 Prutchi v. Lofgren

17

Judgment -- Motions -- Bd. R. 127

1	
2	Prutchi's Motion 1 attacking the patentability of all of Lofgren's claims 40-
3	54 corresponding to the count under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of
4	written description in the specification has been granted in a concurrent paper. It is
5	now time appropriate for entry of judgment against Lofgren. Accordingly, it is
6	ORDERED that judgment as to the subject matter of Count 1 is herein
7	entered against senior party KARL M.J. LOFGREN, JEFFREY D. STAI, ANIL
8	GUPTA, ROBERT D. NORMAN and SANJAY MEHROTRA;
9	FURTHER ORDERED that senior party KARL M.J. LOFGREN,
10	JEFFREY D. STAI, ANIL GUPTA, ROBERT D. NORMAN and SANJAY
11	MEHROTRA is not entitled to claims 40-54 in its involved Application
12	10/785,373, which are herein finally refused;
13	FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties
14	should note the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and Bd. Rule 205; and
15	FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be placed in the
16	respective involved application or patent of the parties.

Interference 105,581 Prutchi v. Lofgren

1	By Electronic Transmission:
2	
3	Attorney for Senior Party Lofgren:
4	
5	Gerald P. Parsons, Esq.
6	William A. Birdwell, Esq.
7	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
8	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
9	San Francisco, CA 94111
10	Tel: 415-276-6534
11	Fax: 415-276-6599
12	geraldparsons@dwt.com
13	billbirdwell@dwt.com
14	
15	Attorney for Junior Party Prutchi:
16	
17	Barbara Clarke McCurdy, Esq.
18	Naveen Modi, Esq.
19	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
20	GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
21	901 New York Avenue, N.W.
22	Washington, D.C. 20001-4413
23	Tel: 202-408-4047
24	Fax: 202-408-4400
25	barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com
26	naveen.modi@finnegan.com
27	